



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/783,129

02/15/2001

Dong-seek Park

Q58599

1492

7590

02/08/2005

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,  
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER

TON, ANTHONY T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2661

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**Application No. **09/783,129**Applicant(s)  
**PARK ET AL.**Examiner  
**Anthony T Ton**Art Unit  
**2661**

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 September 2004.  
2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.  
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  
7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 February 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/29/04.

**PHIRIN SAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

*fin*

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Drawings*

1. The drawings are objected to because the following informalities:

The “NO” - “YES” condition at step 730 in **Fig.7** is not associated with the description of the specification in page 9 lines 10-13. Also, this condition does not support the claimed subject matter “discarding a previous frame in a case where there is some error” as claimed in **Claim 7**.

Examiner suggests swapping “NO” and “YES” for each other.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as “amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled “Replacement Sheet” in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

3. **Claims 1 and 2** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by *Inoue* (Japanese Patent No. JP 11088301 A).

a) **In Regarding to Claim 1:** *Inoue* disclosed a wireless packetization method in a radio transmitting/receiving system for transmitting and/or receiving multimedia data in a wireless environment, comprising the steps of:

dividing multimedia data-related header information into one portion and a plurality of portions, respectively (*see Fig.1, and solution in abstract: a packet marker (PM) for dividing the AL3-SDU into plural numbers*); and

adding error checking or protection codes to each of the divided header information (*see Fig.1: CRC; and solution in abstract: adding an extension field and a CRC field to each and indicating connection information of a header in the extension field and the PM for indicating the final header*).

b) **In Regarding to Claim 2:** *Inoue* further disclosed the error checking or protection codes are cyclic redundancy codes (CRC) (*see Fig.1: CRC, and abstract: adding an extension field and a CRC field to each and indicating connection information of a header*).

4. **Claim 3** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by ETSI TS 125 322 V3.1.2 (2000-01), UMTS, RLC Protocol Specification (3G TS 25.322 Version 3.1.2 Release 1999) (XP-002168713), hereinafter referred to as *ETSI*.

**In Regarding to Claim 3:** *ETSI* disclosed a wireless packetization method in a radio transmitting/receiving system for transmitting and/or receiving multimedia data in a wireless environment, comprising the steps of:

adding a length field and a length indicator field (*see Fig.9.3: HE (length indicator) in octet 2 and Length Indicator (length field) in octet 3*), wherein the length field indicates the length of data in a data region (*see section 9.2.2.8: Length Indicator*) and the length indicator field identifies the length of the length field on a multiplex (MUX) layer (*see section 9.2.2.7: Header Extension Type (HE) for the value of two combinations of the two bits in the HE: 01 and 10*) where the multimedia data are multiplexed into predetermined units of header information (*see Fig.9.3: for an AMD PDU*).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claims 4-6 and 8** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Inoue* (Japanese Patent No. JP 11088301 A) in view of **ETSI TS 125 322 V3.1.2 (2000-01)**, UMTS; RLC Protocol Specification (3G TS 25.322 Version 3.1.2 Release 1999) (XP-002168713).

a) **In Regarding to Claim 4:** *Inoue* disclosed a wireless packetization method in a radio transmitting/receiving system for transmitting and/or receiving multimedia data in a wireless environment, comprising the steps of:

forming a predetermined protocol frame by adding error checking or protection codes to both the length field and the length indicator field (*see Fig.1: CRC; and solution in abstract: adding an extension field and a CRC field to each and indicating connection information of a header in the extension field and the PM for indicating the final header*).

*Inoue* fails to explicitly disclose adding a length field and a length indicator field , wherein the length field indicates the length of data in a data region and the length indicator field identifies the length of the length field on a multiplex (MUX) layer where the multimedia data are multiplexed into predetermined units of header information.

*ISTI* explicitly disclosed such adding a length field and a length indicator field , wherein the length field indicates the length of data in a data region and the length indicator field identifies the length of the length field on a multiplex (MUX) layer where the multimedia data are multiplexed into predetermined units of header information (*see Fig.9.3: HE and Length Indicator fields, AMD PDU, and sections 9.2.2.8 and 9.2.2.7*).

At the time of the invention, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement such adding a length field and a length indicator field , wherein the length field indicates the length of data in a data region and the length indicator field identifies the length of the length field on a multiplex (MUX) layer where the multimedia data are multiplexed into predetermined units of header information, as taught by *ETSI* with *Inoue*, so that a multimedia communications packet can be implemented in different modes with appropriate lengths,

respectively. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide enhanced services to *Inoue* in wireless multimedia communications networks. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine *ETSI* with *Inoue* in the invention as specified in the claim.

**b) In Regarding to Claim 5:** *Inoue* all aspects of the claim 5 as set forth in claim 4; and

*Inoue* inherently disclosed the error protection codes comprising: a first error protection code for error-protecting the length indicator field in the header information; and a second error protection code for error-protecting the length field because *Inoue* a CRC field is added into each of AL3-SDU and AL2-SDU units of a multiplexed PDU packet for a purpose of data information in such each of AL3-SDU and AL2-SDU units can be evaluated properly (*see Fig.1: CRC fields*).

**c) In Regarding to Claim 6:** *Inoue* disclosed all aspects of this claim as set forth in claims 4 and 5.

*Inoue* fails to explicitly disclose the first error protection code and the second error protection code are comprised of 4 bits or 8 bits for controlling an error of the header-divided region.

*ISTI* explicitly disclosed such the first error protection code and the second error protection code are comprised of 4 bits or 8 bits for controlling an error of the header-divided region (*see Fig.9.3: HE and Length Indicator fields, AMD PDU, and sections 9.2.2.8 and 9.2.2.7*).

At the time of the invention, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art can provide such 4 bits or 8 bits throughout the CRC fields of the multiplex transmission method of *Inoue* as a design choice because the length of CRC fields depending on the length of

a packet or a frame. The motivation being to provide enhanced services in a wireless multimedia communications network of the *ETSI* in different platforms of packets.

d) **In Regarding to Claim 8:** The claimed subject matters disclosed in this claim are the same as that in the Claim 4. Therefore, the rejections in the Claim 4 would apply to this claim in an apparatus as taught.

7. **Claims 7 and 9 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kurobe et al.* (US Patent No. 6,233,251) in view of *Yamanaka et al.* (US Patent No. 5,936,965), hereinafter referred to as *Kurobe* and *Yamanaka*, respectively.

a) **In Regarding to Claim 7:** *Kurobe* disclosed a method for decoding a wireless packet by receiving a packet in which error protection codes are added to one portion and a plurality of portions of header information, respectively, on a wireless multiplex (MUX) sub-layer in a multimedia data transmitting system, comprising the steps of:

discarding the previous frame in a case where there is some error and checking a second error protection code added to the next header information in a case where there is no error, when a first error protection code added to the initial header information is checked (*see col.28 line 61- col.29 line 2*); and

transmitting data to an upper layer in a case where there is no error, when the second error protection code is checked (*see col.28 lines 51-60*).

*Kurobe* failed to explicitly transmitting a blank data block to the upper layer in a case where there is some error, when the second error protection code is checked.



*Yamanaka* explicitly disclosed such transmitting a blank data block to the upper layer in a case where there is some error, when the second error protection code is checked (see Fig.3: Null packet (blank packet), and Fig.5: steps 1000, 1004 and 1006).

At the time of the invention, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement such transmitting a blank data block to the upper layer in a case where there is some error, when the second error protection code is checked, as taught by *Yamanaka* with *Kurobe*, in order to detect loss of transport stream packets. The motivation for doing so would have been to perform error control correctly at higher layers (see *Yamanaka*: col.2 lines 17-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine *Yamanaka* with *Kurobe* in the invention as specified in the claim.

**b) In Regarding to Claim 9:** The claimed subject matters disclosed in this claim are the same as that in the Claim 7. Therefore, the rejections in the Claim 7 would apply to this claim in an apparatus as taught.

#### ***Response to Remarks***

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1-9** have been respectfully re-considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. In order to response properly to the claims, the Examiner decides to add new references, *Inoue* (Japanese Patent No. JP 11088301 A); ETSI TS 125 322 V3.1.2 (2000-01), UMTS, RLC Protocol Specification (3G TS 25.322 Version 3.1.2 Release 1999) (XP-002168713); and *Yamanaka et al.* (US Patent No. 5,936,965), which are new discovered references applied for the new ground(s) rejections as set forth in this Office Action.


**Examiner Information**

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Anthony T Ton** whose telephone number is **571-272-3076**. The examiner can normally be reached on **M-F: 9:00 am - 5:30 pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Chau T Nguyen** can be reached on **571-272-3126**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at **866-217-9197** (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

by:   
Anthony T. Ton  
Patent Examiner  
January 26, 2005

  
**PHIRIN SAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**